

6

Lack of radiation optic neuropathy in 72 patients treated for pituitary adenoma

Alfons C.M. van den Bergh, M.D.¹; Michiel A. Schoorl, M.D.¹; Robin P.F. Dullaart M.D., Ph.D.²; Anton M. van der Vliet, M.D.³; Ben G. Szabó, M.D., Ph.D.¹; Cees A. ter Weeme, M.D., Ph.D.⁴; Jan-Willem R. Pott, M.D., Ph.D.⁵

¹ Department of Radiation Oncology, ² Endocrinology, ³ Radiology, ⁴ Neurosurgery, ⁵ Ophthalmology, University Hospital Groningen, The Netherlands

Journal of Neuro-Ophthalmology 2004; 24(3): 200-205

Abstract

The incidence of radiation optic neuropathy (RON) after external photon beam radiation therapy for non-functioning pituitary adenoma (NFA) is not well studied. Retrospective review was performed of ophthalmological and imaging data in 72 patients with NFA treated between 1985 and 1998 with external beam radiation therapy following surgery. Clinical follow-up after radiation therapy had to be at least 18 months. RON was defined as a sudden and profound irreversible visual loss affecting the optic nerve or chiasm. A review of previously published cases of RON was then performed. In our cohort, no patient had RON. A total of 11 adequately documented series reports of RON were found in the medical literature on radiation-treated NFAs. The incidence of RON in NFA from these series is 0.53% (95%CI, 0.26%-0.96%). An additional 14 single RON cases have been reported, bringing the total of adequately documented RON cases to 25. RON is a rare complication after external beam radiation therapy for NFA.

Introduction

Pituitary adenomas account for at least 12% of all intracranial neoplasms¹. Their incidence is estimated to be 20 to 30 per million². Approximately 25% to 30% of patients with pituitary adenomas do not have a classic hypersecretory syndrome such as acromegaly, Cushing disease, or prolactinoma. Tumors that do not appear to secrete hormones are called nonfunctioning adenomas (NFA)³. NFAs often present with signs of mass effect, such as visual changes, and symptoms of pituitary insufficiency⁴.

Radiation therapy plays an important role in the treatment of NFAs. In the past, radiation therapy alone was the treatment of choice unless there were large visual deficits, in which case a craniotomy was performed to decompress the optic nerves and chiasm. With improving microsurgical techniques, the preferred treatment became neurosurgery followed by radiation therapy for extensive bulky lesions, histologically invasive adenomas, or incomplete excision⁵. The routine use of post-operative radiation therapy in case of residual tumor is controversial⁶⁻⁹; its use prevents regrowth of residual tumor in most cases, but it may cause such side effects as radiation optic neuropathy (RON)^{10,11}. The incidence of RON after external beam radiation therapy for NFA has not been well-documented. There is also debate as to whether patients with NFA are less likely to have RON development after radiation therapy than those with growth hormone-secreting or adrenocorticotrophic hormone-secreting pituitary adenoma¹²⁻¹⁷.

The aim of this retrospective study was to discover the incidence of RON in a cohort of irradiated patients with NFA. Also, a review of prior published series and individual case reports is presented, from which an estimation of the incidence of RON in irradiated NFA can be deduced.

Methods

In 2001, we conducted a retrospective investigation of the ophthalmological, neurosurgical, and radiation therapy records of 77 patients who had undergone surgery and external beam radiation treatment of NFA from 1985 to 1998 at the University Hospital, Groningen, The Netherlands (n = 52) and four regional institutions with equivalent radiation therapy protocols (n = 20).

The median age of our cohort at the start of radiation therapy was 52 years. The sex distribution was 41 males (57%) and 31 females (43%). All 72 patients were treated with a combination of surgery and radiation therapy. Sixty patients had one, ten patients had two, and one patient had four operations before radiation therapy. One patient had a second operation for tumor recurrence after operation and radiation therapy. Median ophthalmological follow-up time after radiation therapy was 51 months (range, 19-171 months). Total radiation dose ranged from 45 to 55.8 Gy. The daily radiation fraction size

varied from 1.8 to 2 Gy. Median overall treatment time was 35 days (range, 30-42 days). The radiation fractionation schemes used were 45 Gy in 25 daily fractions (n = 49; 68%), 50 Gy in 25 daily fractions (n = 9; 13%), 50.4 Gy in 28 daily fractions (n = 7; 10%), 46 Gy in 23 daily fractions (n = 6; 8%) and 55.8 Gy in 31 daily fractions (n = 1; 1%). All radiation treatment fields were applied daily.

Patients were treated with linear accelerators with 4-MV photons (n = 5), 6-MV photons (n = 45), 8-MV photons (n = 11), 10-MV photons (n = 5), and 16 to 18 MV photons (n = 6). A two-field opposed lateral technique was used in 10 patients, a three-field technique in 30 patients, a five-field technique in 20 patients, and a combination of these techniques in 22 patients. The most frequent combination was opposed lateral fields, followed by a three-field (n = 13) or a five-field technique (n = 5). In the time period 1985 to 1990, the radiation dose to the tumor was prescribed at the tumor encompassing isodose, and from 1991 to 1998 it was prescribed at a central point in the tumor according to the recommendations of the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU)²⁰.

Ophthalmological follow-up, defined as the period between the first day of irradiation and the last ophthalmological examination, had to be at least 18 months. Five patients were excluded because they were lost to follow-up before 18 months, reducing the cohort to 72.

Visual fields were obtained with Goldmann kinetic perimetry. The visual field data of all patients at diagnosis, after neurosurgery, radiation therapy, and in follow-up were reviewed by one neuro-ophthalmologist (J.-W.R.P.).

The diagnosis of RON was based on the criteria of Kline et al.¹⁸ and Parsons et al.¹⁹; 1) irreversible visual loss with visual field defects of optic nerve or chiasmal origin; 2) absence of visual pathway compression caused by recurrence or progression of tumor, radiation-induced neoplasm, arachnoidal adhesions around the chiasm, radiation retinopathy, or other ophthalmologic disease; 3) absence of optic edema; 4) optic disc pallor noted within six to eight weeks after onset of symptoms. The diagnosis of RON was also based on review of visual fields, visual acuity, and fundoscopic examinations in combination with brain imaging.

For our review of the published literature on RON, we performed a search of Medline between 1966 and May 2003 and a search of Embase between 1989 and May 2003. Key words were radiation optic neuropathy, nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma, and radiotherapy. All articles that included patients with NFA were checked for vision loss caused by radiation therapy. The references retrieved by Medline and Embase were screened for other references not found using the aforementioned key words.

To estimate the incidence of RON in NFA, we included only cohort series of patients in which RON was studied. In reports that included functioning and nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas, we included only those in which the number of NFA and RON cases were reported. To evaluate risk factors for RON, we included only those cases from

series and case reports in which radiation treatment data were available. Our calculations include our own series as well as previous reports. The 95% confidence interval was calculated assuming a binomial distribution.

Results

In our cohort, no patient in the current study had RON diagnosed. One of 72 irradiated patients had spiraling isopters on Goldmann perimetry without visual acuity loss as late as 11 years after radiation therapy. Because of her unusual visual fields, Goldmann perimetry was repeated five times over a time period of 17 months with consistent spiraling. Fundoscopic examination of both eyes revealed normal optic discs. Gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging showed no pertinent abnormalities, such as high signal in the optic nerves or chiasm²¹. Visual-evoked potentials showed no amplitude reduction or latency increase with pattern stimulation. Two years later, the spiraling had disappeared and visual acuity remained normal. Although she was initially considered to have atypical RON²², this diagnosis was rejected when visual field defects normalized.

As shown in Table 1, we found 27 pertinent series of patients in whom the development of RON was considered. From these series, we calculated that 11 of 2,063 patients had RON, yielding an incidence of 0.53% (95% CI, 0.26%-0.96%). We found an additional 14 RON single-case reports in the literature, making a total of 25 cases.

In 16 of these cases, visual acuity loss was reported (Table 2). It was bilateral in nine patients (56%) and unilateral in seven patients (44%). Of the 25 eyes affected, 13 eyes (52%) had no light perception; two eyes (8%) had light perception; two eyes (8%) had hand movements; four eyes (16%) had a visual acuity between 20/800 and 20/100, and four eyes (16%) had a visual acuity better than 20/100.

In the 23 RON cases in which data were available, the peak latency between radiation therapy and the development of RON was between 12 and 18 months¹⁸ (Table 2). The median latency time was 11 months (range, 2-54 months). Four patients (16%) had a latency period longer than 18 months.

In the 21 RON cases in which total radiation dose and radiation fraction size data were available, 14 patients (67%) received a total dose of more than 50 Gy and/or a daily fraction size more than 2 Gy. Of note, seven patients (33%) who had visual loss caused by RON were treated with a supposedly safe daily radiation fraction size and total radiation dose. Information was not available in most reports with respect to the ICRU 50/62 recommended minimum (95% of the prescribed dose) and maximum radiation doses (107% of the prescribed dose) to the optic system²⁰.

In the 20 RON cases in which patient age or gender was reported, the median patient age was 54 years (with 12 patients being older than 50 years), and 12 (60%) were women (95%CI,36%-81%).

Table 1 Incidence of radiation optic neuropathy (RON) in reported series of irradiated patients with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas.

Ref	Number of patients	Total radiation dose (Gray)	Fraction size (Gray)	Number of RON cases	Treatment period
Colby, (1962)(27)	127	35	na	0	1938-1958
Emmanuel ²⁸ (1966)	57	40	2	0	1940-1960
Chang ²⁹ (1967)	291	24.5-30	2	0	1937-1964
Carlson ³⁰ (1971)	38	31.6-58.5	na	0	1955-1965
Arumugasamy ³¹ (1971)	36	35-45	na	0	1942-1969
Hayes ³² (1971)	71	45-50	2	0	1950-1967
Pistenma ³³ (1975)	62	44-70	na	0	1956-1972
Sheline ³⁴ (1975)	140	40-50	na	0	1933-1968
Kramer ³⁵ (1975)	143	45-46	2	0	1956-1972
Harris ²⁴ (1976)	35	42-59	2-2.5	4	1968-1973
Aristizabal ¹² (1977)	52	40-46	2-2.2	1	1952-1971
Erlichman ³⁶ (1979)	154	17.2-55	na	0	1958-1972
Symon ³⁷ (1979)	92	32.5-36	2.75-3	0	1968-1978
Ebersold ³⁸ (1986)	50	40-57	na	0	1975-1980
Vlahovitch ³⁹ (1988)	61	40-50	2-2.5	1	1968-1987
Flickinger ⁴⁰ (1989)	112	47.5-50	2	1	1964-1987
Tran ⁴¹ (1991)	36	44-55	1.8-2.5	0	1967-1985
Grattan-Smith ⁴² (1992)	17	na	na	0	1980-1985
Salinger ⁴³ (1992)	29	45.7-56	1-2.5	0	1961-1986
Zaugg ⁴⁴ (1995)	35	40-45	1.8-2.25	0	1973-1992
Cornett ⁴⁵ (1996)	8	45-60	1.8-2.0	0	1988-1992
Grabenbauer ⁴⁶ (1996)	50	46-63	1.9-2.25	2	1983-1990
Colao ⁴⁷ (1998)	59	45	1.8	1	1985-1996
Breen ⁴⁸ (1998)	120	37.6-65.6	1.5-2.5	1	1960-1991
Mitsumori ⁴⁹ (1998)	12	45	1.8	0	1989-1995
Sasaki ⁵⁰ (2000)	65	44-70	1.5-2	0	1969-1994
Isobe ⁵¹ (2000)	39	48-60	2	0	1980-1995
Current series (2003)	72	45-55.8	1.8-2	0	1985-1998
Total	2063			11	
na: not available					

Table 2 Reported cases of radiation optic neuropathy (RON) in irradiated patients with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas in which radiation treatment characteristics are documented

Author (year of publication)	Gender	Age at RON (yrs)	Surgery	Total dose (Gy)	Fraction size (Gy)	Treatment time (days)	Latency of RON (months)	Visual status due to RON
Crompton, 1961(52)	F	56	Y	45	n.a.	28	12	n.a.
Harris ^{24*} 1976	F	41	N	45	2.25	32	6	OD: NLP; OS: 20/20
Harris ^{24*} 1976	M	62	Y	45	2.5	26	15	OD: NLP; OS: NLP
Harris ^{24*} 1976	M	66	N	45	2.5	26	6	OD: NLP; OS: NLP
Harris ^{24*} 1976	F	37	N	45	2.5	26	2	n.a.
Aristizabal ^{12*} 1977	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	50	2	35	10	OD: NLP; OS: NLP
Martins ⁵³ 1977	F	61	Y	67	2.25	37	33	OD: LP; OS: 20/20
Martins ⁵³ 1977	F	44	Y	65.8	2.2	46	13	OD: NLP; OS: 20/30
Lorenzo ⁵⁴ 1978	F	28	N	50	n.a.	35	14	n.a.
Fitzgerald ²² 1981	F	65	N	50	n.a.	42	13	OD: 20/20; OS: LP (helical isopters)
Fukamachi ⁵⁵ 1982	F	49	Y	50	2	35	10	OD:20/400; OS:20/100
Hammer ¹⁵ 1983	F	52	Y	42.5	2.8	21	13; 25	OS: 20/200; OD: NLP
Kline ¹⁸ 1985	M	73	Y	50	2	38	12	OD: VA: 20/800; OS: 20/20
Kundra ⁵⁶ 1990	M	40	Y	55	2.75	n.a.	6	n.a.
Kundra ⁵⁶ 1990	M	46	Y	55	2.2	n.a.	+6	n.a.
Zimmerman ⁵⁷ 1990	M	64	Y	50.4	1.8	28	14	OD:HM; OS:20/25
Millar ⁵⁸ 1991	F	56	Y	45	1.8	35	10	OD: NLP; OS: NLP
Guy ²¹ 1991	M	51	Y	53.4	2	NA	30	OD: 20/20; OS: 20/25
Hudgins ⁵⁹ 1992	F	75	Y	54	1.8	NA	35	OD: N/A; OS: 20/20
Sallet ⁶⁰ 1992	F	40	Y	30	n.a.	n.a.	8	OD: 20/20; OS: NLP
Hughes ⁶¹ 1993	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	50	2.5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Hughes ⁶¹ 1993	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	50	2.5	n.a.	n.a.	OD: 20/20; OS: 20/20, temporal field defect
McClellan ⁶² 1995	M	67	Y	45	1.8	36	3; 7	OD: HM; OS: NLP
Colao ^{47*} 1998	n.a.	n.a.	Y	45	1.8	35	12	n.a.
Breen ^{48*} 1998	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	50	2	n.a.	54	n.a.

F: female; M: male; Surgery: Y: yes; N: no; OD: right eye; OS: left eye; VA: visual acuity; n.a.: data not available
* These references are also included in Table 1, because patient and treatment characteristics were available.

Discussion

Based on the review of our cohort of 72 cases and the published literature, RON is a rare complication after external beam radiation therapy in patients with NFA. We found no case of RON in our cohort. Our literature review found a total of 11 adequately documented cases of RON in series reports of radiation-treated NFA patients for an overall incidence of 0.53%. This is significantly lower than the 1.36% incidence of RON in acromegalic patients²³ ($P = 0.01$; odds ratio 2.56; 95% CI, 1.26-5.22). One possible determinant contributing to the relatively increased incidence of RON in GH-secreting pituitary adenomas compared to NFAs is the occurrence of more microvascular damage in association with GH excess¹².

An additional 14 RON cases emerged from single case reports. Reviewing the total of 25 cases, we found that RON usually occurred between 12 and 18 months after radiation treatment but could occur after a considerably longer latency period. Previous reports do indicate that a total radiation dose greater than 50 Gy and/or a daily radiation fraction size greater than 2 Gy are risk factors for developing RON^{19,24}, although RON can occur at lower doses^{14,19}.

In as many as 33% of reported cases, we could identify no risk factors related to radiation therapy. Older age has been touted as a possible risk factor for RON^{9,25}, but our series suggests that age is not a strong risk factor for developing RON in NFA, given the median age of 52 years at the start of radiation therapy among our patients. Our review also found no major gender predominance for the development of RON.

Based on these results, the current dose-fractionation policy in our department is 45 Gy in 1.8 Gy fractions for all pituitary adenomas. According to McCollough et al.²⁶, there is no benefit in applying a higher total dose.

References

1. Kovacs K, Scheithauer BW, Horvath E, et al. The world health organization classification of adenohypophyseal neoplasms. *Cancer* 1996;78:502-510
2. Clayton RN, Wass JA. Pituitary tumours: recommendations for service provision and guidelines for management of patients. Summary of a consensus statement of a working party from the Endocrinology and Diabetes Committee of the Royal College of Physicians and the Society for Endocrinology in conjunction with the Research Unit of the Royal College of Physicians. *JR Coll Physicians Lond.* 1997;31:628-636
3. Mindermann T, Wilson CB. Age-related and gender-related occurrence of pituitary adenomas. *Clin Endocrinol* 1994;41:359-364.
4. Tjeerdma G, Sluiter WJ, Hew JM, et al. Hyperprolactinaemia is associated with a higher prevalence of pituitary-adrenal dysfunction in non-functioning pituitary macroadenoma. *Eur J Endocrinol* 1996;135:299-308.
5. Comtois R, Beauregard H, Somma M, et al. The clinical and endocrine outcome to trans-sphenoidal microsurgery of nonsecreting pituitary adenomas. *Cancer* 1991;68:860-866.
6. Turner HE, Stratton IM, Byrne JV, et al. Audit of selected patients with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas treated without irradiation - a follow-up study. *Clin Endocrinol* 1999;51:281-284.
7. Plowman PN. Pituitary adenoma radiotherapy - when, who and how? *Clin Endocrinol* 1999;51:265-271.
8. Gittoes NJL, Bates AS, Tse W, et al. Radiotherapy for non-functioning pituitary tumours. *Clin Endocrinol* 1998;48:331-337.
9. Bradley KM, Adams CBT, Potter CPS, et al. An audit of selected patients with non-functioning pituitary adenoma treated by trans-sphenoidal surgery without irradiation. *Clin Endocrinol* 1994;41:655-659.
10. Al-Mefty O, Kersh JE, Routh A, et al. The long-term side effects of radiation therapy for benign brain tumors in adults. *J Neurosurg* 1990;73:502-512.
11. McCord MW, Buatti JM, Fennell EM, et al. Radiotherapy for pituitary adenoma: long-term outcome and sequelae. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1997;39:437-444.
12. Aristizabal S, Caldwell WL, Avila J. The relationship of time-dose fractionation factors to complications in the treatment of pituitary tumors by irradiation. *Int J Rad Oncol Biol Phys* 1977;2:667-673.
13. Bloom B, Kramer S. Conventional radiation therapy in the management of acromegaly. In: McL. Black P, Zervas NT, Ridgway EC, et al., editors. *Secretory Tumors of the Pituitary Gland (Progress in Endocrinology Research and Therapy)*. New York: Raven Press, 1984: 179-190.
14. Atkinson AB, Allen IV, Gordon DS, et al. Progressive visual failure in acromegaly following external pituitary irradiation. *Clin Endocrinol* 1979;10:469-479.
15. Hammer HM. Optic Chiasmatal Radionecrosis. *Trans ophthal Soc U K* 1983;103:208-211.

16. Dowsett RJ, Fowble B, Sergott RC, et al. Results of radiotherapy in the treatment of acromegaly: lack of ophthalmologic complications. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1990;19:453-459.
17. Eastman RC, Gordon P, Glatstein E, et al. Radiation therapy of acromegaly. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 1992;21:693-712.
18. Kline LB, Kim JY, Ceballos R. Radiation optic neuropathy. *Ophthalmology* 1985;92:1118-1126.
19. Parsons JT, Bova FJ, Fitzgerald CR, et al. Radiation optic neuropathy after megavoltage external-beam irradiation: analysis of time-dose factors. *Int J Radiation Oncology Biol Phys* 1994;30:755-764.
20. Allisy, A. *International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Prescribing, Recording and Reporting photon beam therapy.* ICRU Report 50; Bethesda, MD:ICRU;1993
21. Guy J, Mancuso A, Beck R, et al. Radiation-induced optic neuropathy: a magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurosurg* 1991;74:426-432.
22. Fitzgerald CR, Enoch JM, Temme LA. Radiation therapy in and about the retina, optic nerve, and anterior visual pathway. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1981;99:611-623.
23. van den Bergh ACM, Dullaart RPF, Hoving MA, et al. Radiation optic neuropathy after external beam radiation therapy for acromegaly. *Radiother Oncol* 2003;68 :95-100.
24. Harris JR, Levene MB. Visual complications following irradiation for pituitary adenomas and craniopharyngiomas. *Radiology* 1976;120:167-171.
25. Guy J, Schatz NJ. Hyperbaric oxygen in the treatment of radiation-induced optic neuropathy. *Ophthalmology* 1986;93:1083-1088.
26. McCollough WM, Marcus RB, Rhoton AL, et al. Long-term follow-up of radiotherapy for pituitary adenoma: the absence of late recurrence after greater than or equal to 4500cGy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1991;21:607-614.
27. Colby MY, Kearns TP. Radiation therapy of pituitary adenomas with associated visual impairment. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1962;37:15-24.
28. Emmanuel IG. Symposium on Pituitary tumours. 3. Historical aspects of radiotherapy, present treatment technique and results. *Clin Radiol* 1966;17:154-160.
29. Chang CH, Pool JL. The radiotherapy of pituitary chromophobe adenomas: an evaluation of indication, technique, and result. *Radiology* 1967;89:1005-1016.
30. Carlson DH, Marsh SH. Cobalt-60 teletherapy of pituitary adenomas. *Radiology* 1971;98:655-659.
31. Arumugasamy N, Lestina FA, Bucy PC. Treatment of pituitary adenomas: a study of 66 cases. *Med J Malaya* 1971;26 :3-14.
32. Hayes TP, Davis RA, Raventos A. The treatment of pituitary chromophobe adenomas. *Radiology* 1971;98:149-153.
33. Pistenma DA, Goffinet DR, Bagshaw MA, et al. Treatment of chromophobe adenomas with megavoltage irradiation. *Cancer* 1975;35:1574-1582.

34. Sheline GE, Wara WM. Radiation therapy of acromegaly and non-secretory chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary. In: Seydel HG, editor. *Tumors of the nervous system*. New York: John Wiley&Sons, 1975: 119-31.
35. Kramer S. Treatment of pituitary tumors by radiation therapy. In: Seydel HG, editor. *Tumors of the nervous system*. New York: John Wiley&Sons; 1975: 93-102.
36. Erlichman C, Meakin JW, Simpson WJ. Review of 154 patients with non-functioning pituitary tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1979;5:1981-1986.
37. Symon L, Jakubowski J. Transcranial management of pituitary tumours with suprasellar extension. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1979;42:123-133.
38. Ebersold MJ, Quast LM, Laws ER, et al. Long-term results in trans-sphenoidal removal of nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas. *J Neurosurg* 1986;64:713-719.
39. Vlahovitch B, Reynaud C, Rhiati J, et al. Treatment and recurrences in 135 pituitary adenomas. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 1988;42:120-123.
40. Flickinger JC, Nelson PB, Martinez AJ, et al. Radiotherapy of nonfunctional adenomas of the pituitary gland. Results with long-term follow-up. *Cancer* 1989;63:2409-2414.
41. Tran LM, Blount L, Horton D, et al. Radiation Therapy of Pituitary Tumors: Results in 95 Cases. *Am J Clin Oncol* 1991;14:25-29.
42. Grattan-Smith PJ, Morris JG, Langlands AO. Delayed radiation necrosis of the central nervous system in patients irradiated for pituitary tumours. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992;55:949-955.
43. Salinger DJ, Brady LW, Miyamoto CT. Radiation therapy in the treatment of pituitary adenomas. *Am J Clin Oncol* 1992;15:467-473.
44. Zaugg M, Adaman O, Pescia R, et al. External irradiation of macroinvasive pituitary adenomas with telecobalt: a retrospective study with long-term follow-up in patients irradiated with doses mostly of between 40-50 Gy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1995;32:671-680.
45. Cornett MS, Paris KJ, Spanos WJ, et al. Radiation therapy for pituitary adenomas. A retrospective study of the University of Louisville experience. *Am J Clin Oncol* 1996;19:292-295.
46. Grabenbauer GG, Fietkau R, Buchfelder M, et al. Hormoninaktive Hypophysenadenome: Resultate und spätfolgen nach operation und radiotherapie. *Strahlenther Onkol* 1996;172:193-197.
47. Colao A, Cerbone G, Cappabianca P, et al. Effect of surgery and radiotherapy on visual and endocrine function in nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas. *J Endocrinol Invest* 1998;21:284-290.
48. Breen P, Flickinger JC, Kondziolka D, et al. Radiotherapy for nonfunctional pituitary adenoma: analysis of long-term tumor control. *J Neurosurg* 1998;89:933-938.

49. Mitsumori M, Shrieve DC, Alexander E, et al. Initial clinical results of LINAC-based stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic radiotherapy for pituitary adenomas. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1998;42:573-580.
50. Sasaki R, Murakami M, Okamoto Y, et al. The efficacy of conventional radiation therapy in the management of pituitary adenoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2000;47:1337-1345.
51. Isobe K, Ohta M, Yasuda S, et al. Postoperative radiation therapy for pituitary adenoma. *J Neurooncol* 2000;48:135-140.
52. Crompton MR, Layton Dd. Delayed radionecrosis of the brain following therapeutic X-radiation of the pituitary. *Brain* 1961;84:85-101.
53. Martins AN, Johnston JS, Henry JM, et al. Delayed radiation necrosis of the brain. *J Neurosurg* 1977; 47:336-345.
54. Lorenzo DN, Nolletti A, Palma L. Late cerebral radionecrosis. *Neurosurgery* 1978;10:281-290.
55. Fukamachi A, Wakao T, Akai J. Brain stem necrosis after irradiation of pituitary adenoma. *Surg Neurol* 1982;18:343-350.
56. Kundra SN, Sharma BS, Banerjee AK, et al. Damage to the anterior visual pathway and brain parenchyma following external pituitary irradiation. *Indian J Cancer* 1990;27:172-179.
57. Zimmerman CF, Schatz NJ, Glaser JS. Magnetic resonance imaging of radiation optic neuropathy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1990;110:389-394.
58. Millar JL, Spry NA, Lamb DS, et al. Blindness in patients after external beam irradiation for pituitary adenomas: two cases occurring after small daily fractional doses. *Clinical Oncology* 1991;3:291-294.
59. Hudgins PA, Newmann NJ, Dillon WP, et al. Radiation-induced optic neuropathy: characteristic appearances on gadolinium-enhanced MR. *AJNR* 1992;13 ;235-238.
60. Sallet G, Kestelyn P. Radiation-induced optic neuropathy. *Bull Soc belge ophthalmol* 1992;243:99-107.
61. Hughes MN, Llamas KJ, Yelland ME, et al. Pituitary adenomas: long-term results for radiotherapy alone and post-operative radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1993;27:1035-1043.
62. McClellan RL, el Gammal T, Kline LB. Early bilateral radiation-induced optic neuropathy with follow-up MRI. *Neuroradiology* 1995;37:131-133.